

Notice of Meeting

Epsom and Ewell Local Committee

Date: Monday, 10 December 2012

Time: 2.00 pm

Place: Epsom Town Hall, The Parade, Epsom, KT18 5BY

Contact: **Nicola Morris, Community Partnership & Committee Officer**

**Surrey County Council, Community Partnership Team, Epsom
Town Hall (2nd floor), Epsom, KT18 5BY**

020 8541 9437
nicola.morris@surreycc.gov.uk

Surrey County Council Appointed Members

Mr David Wood, Epsom and Ewell North East (Chairman)
Mr Chris Frost, Epsom and Ewell South East (Vice-Chairman)
Mr Eber A Kington, Epsom and Ewell North
Mrs Jan Mason, Epsom and Ewell West
Mr Colin Taylor, Epsom and Ewell South West

Borough Council Appointed Members

Borough Councillor Michael Arthur, Ewell
Borough Councillor Ian Booker, Town
Borough Councillor Paul Arden Jones, Stamford
Borough Councillor Julie Morris, College
Borough Councillor Jean Smith, Ewell Court

District / Borough Council Substitutes:

Borough Councillor Pamela Bradley, Stoneleigh
Borough Councillor Neil Dallen, Town
Borough Councillor Anna Jones, College
Borough Councillor Humphrey Reynolds, Ewell
Borough Councillor Mike Teasdale, Stoneleigh

Chief Executive
David McNulty

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print, Braille, or another language please either call Nicola Morris, Community Partnership & Committee Officer on 020 8541 9437 or write to the Community Partnerships Team at Surrey County Council, Community Partnership Team, Epsom Town Hall (2nd floor), Epsom, KT18 5BY or nicola.morris@surreycc.gov.uk

This is a meeting in public. If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please contact us using the above contact details.

PART ONE IN PUBLIC

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

To receive any apologies for absence and notices of substitutions from Borough members under Standing Order 39.

2 WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

To answer any questions from any Surrey electors. Notice should be given in writing or by e-mail to the Community Partnership & Committee Officer at least by noon five working days before the meeting.

3 ADJOURNMENT

For the Committee to consider adjourning for up to half an hour to receive questions from members of the public.

4 PETITIONS

A] To receive any petitions in accordance with Standing Order 65.
B] To table the Petitions received by the Highways Department and the Officer's response.

5 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

(Pages 1 - 4)

To approve the Minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.

6 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive any declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests from Members in respect of any item to be considered at the meeting.

Notes:

- Each Member must declare any interest that is disclosable under the Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012, unless it is already listed for that Member in the Council's Register of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests.
- As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner, or a person with whom the member is living as husband or wife, or a person with whom the member is living as if they were civil partners.
- If the interest has not yet been disclosed in that Register, the Member must, as well as disclosing it at the meeting, notify the Monitoring Officer of it within 28 days.

- If a Member has a disclosable interest, the Member must not vote or speak on the agenda item in which it arises, or do anything to influence other Members in regard to that item.

7 MEMBER QUESTION TIME

To receive any written questions from Members under Standing Order 47. Notice should be given in writing to the Local Committee & Partnership Officer by 12.00 noon four working days before the meeting.

8 EPSOM AND EWELL PARKING REVIEW - PHASE 6: CONSIDERATION OF FORMAL OBJECTIONS - LADBROKE ROAD (Pages 5 - 8)

To acknowledge the result of the formal consultation and consider any resultant objections, following the advertisement of the proposed scheme, and to decide how to proceed with the parking proposals in Ladbroke Road, Epsom.

9 PROPOSED BUS STOP CLEARWAYS IN KINGSTON ROAD, EWELL (Pages 9 - 12)

To seek the Local Committee's approval to create bus stop clearways in Kingston Road Ewell.

10 HIGHWAYS UPDATE (Pages 13 - 20)

To update Committee with progress of the 2012-13 Highways programmes funded by the Local Committee.

11 APPROVAL OF SMALL GRANTS BIDS (Pages 21 - 38)

To consider the applications received for the Small Grants Allocation.

12 FLEXIBLE FORWARD PLAN (Pages 39 - 40)

To note the flexible forward programme.

13 LOCAL COMMITTEE FUNDING (Pages 41 - 54)

To give consideration to the funding requests received, that have been sponsored by at least one County Councillor.

14 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Monday 11 March 2012, 7.00pm Bourne Hall, Ewell.

This page is intentionally left blank

**Minutes of the meeting of Surrey County Council's
Local Committee in Epsom and Ewell
Held at 19.00 on
Monday 24 September 2012 at
Bourne Hall,
Spring Street, Ewell**

Members Present – Surrey County Council

David Wood	[Epsom & Ewell North East] [Chairman]
Chris Frost	[Epsom & Ewell South East] [Vice Chairman]
Eber Kington	[Epsom & Ewell North]
Jan Mason	[Epsom & Ewell West]
Colin Taylor	[Epsom & Ewell South West]

Members Present – Epsom & Ewell Borough Council

Cllr Paul Arden-Jones	[Stamford]
Cllr Michael Arthur	[Ewell]
Cllr Ian Booker	[Town]
Cllr Julie Morris	[College]
Cllr Jean Smith	[Ewell Court]

37/12 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 1]

There were no apologies for absence.

38/12 WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTION TIME [Item 2]

One question was received. The question and response is set out in Annex A.

39/12 ADJOURNMENT [Item 3]

Several members of the public attended, and four informal questions were put to the meeting. Answers were provided to the questions at the meeting and officers agreed to consider some matters further and update the Chairman if appropriate.

40/12 PETITIONS [Item 4]

There was one petition received for this meeting. Details of the petition and the response from the Officers is set out in Annex B.

Mr Kevin Vince attended the meeting to represent the petitioners. He queried how it was possible for the experimental road closure to be made permanent before the end of the experimental period. The Highways Area Team Manager explained that regulations allowed a decision to be made during the temporary period for the closure to be made permanent at the end of the temporary period. He acknowledged that officers had overlooked the need for large vehicles to visit businesses in this area and the problems associated with them turning round to leave the area. He agreed to see if it would be possible to amend the closure to allow an escape route for these vehicles only.

It was suggested that many of the vehicles parked in this area belong to drivers working at the bus depot and it was agreed that the bus company would be approached to see if more of them could be accommodated on site. The County Council parking team would also be consulted to see if this area could be considered for yellow lines in the next parking review. Keep Clear road markings at business entrances would also be considered.

Officers agreed to look at the suggestion that an illuminated sign could be placed at the junction with Blenheim Road giving an approximate queuing time for entry to the community recycling centre to prevent people joining the queue and then being unable to leave and to encourage them to come back later.

It was noted that there was no pedestrian access to the recycling facility and it was requested that this should be addressed.

The Committee noted the response and the additional actions proposed above and requested an update to be provided at its next meeting.

41/12 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING [25 June 2012] [Item 5]

Confirmed as a correct record.

42/12 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 6]

In relation to Item 11, Chris Frost and Colin Taylor declared that they are members of the Lower Mole Countryside Project Steering Group.

43/12 MEMBERS' QUESTION TIME [Item 7]

11 Questions were received from 5 Members. The questions and responses are set out in Annex C. The following supplementary questions and answers were given at the meeting:

Question 5 – Officers agreed to circulate any further information when it becomes available.

Question 9 – It was reported that many residents had witnessed site vehicles driving past the site entrance and waiting for the site to open and that complaints from residents should have been recorded somewhere to enable officers to take action against the developer.

44/12 HIGHWAYS UPDATE [Item 8]

Noted that on 31 March each year the value of schemes for which invoices have not yet been received are accrued. As the invoices are received they are reconciled against this budget. This year, this resulted in an overspend as some invoices were more than expected. However, this is more than covered by the carry forward of unallocated budget from last year. Members expressed disappointment that more work could have been done last year if the Local Committee had been aware that there was budget still available. They were also concerned that despite putting forward suggestions for projects to take place this year they still did not know which would be going ahead and the costs of this work. The Highways Area Team Manager accepted that there had been problems in getting costs for works and this was currently a high priority so that orders can be placed by October to allow for work to be completed in this financial year.

Planning for 2013/14 will start earlier with an informal workshop for members in October to allow a programme to be agreed by Committee in December.

Resolved: That the following be agreed:

- i] to delegate authority to the Area Team Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman and locally affected Divisional Members to use the Local Revenue carry forward sum to ensure that this Financial Year's Capital programme is adequately funded;
- ii] to delegate authority to the Area Team Manager to undertake all necessary procedures to deliver the agreed programmes, in consultation with the Chairman of the Local Committee and the Vice Chairman and the Local Divisional Member affected by each project.

45/12 TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT IN STATION APPROACH [Item 9]

There was concern that the current proposals do not appear to offer sufficient provision for passengers to be set down and collected by car or for taxis and members queried whether there was a need for two bus stops. Noted that the loading bay will only be occupied for a short period during the day and will then be available for taxis for the rest of the day. The area highways team manager assured the Committee that although the orders requested in the report would be permanent they would limit the scope of the working group if an alternative solution was proposed

Resolved: That the following be agreed:

- i] the advertising of a Traffic Regulation Order for the provision of a service vehicle loading area;
- ii] the advertising of an amendment to the existing town centre loading restrictions to accommodate the new loading lay-by;
- iii] the making of a Bus Stop Clearway in Station Approach;
- iv] to set up a working group consisting of Divisional and Ward Members [Chris Frost, Colin Taylor and Ian Booker], County and Borough Officers, Hackney Carriage representatives [John Girardi], Network Rail and Passenger Transport representatives to consider the provision of bus stops and Hackney Carriage ranks in the Town centre including the layout and operation of Station Approach taking into account the conflicting pressures.

46/12 FLEXIBLE FORWARD PROGRAMME [Item 10]

Noted the forward plan. Members agreed that the March meeting should take place in Bourne Hall as the Ebbisham Centre is no longer available.

47/12 LOCAL COMMITTEE FUNDING [Item 11]

Chris Frost and Colin Taylor declared a non pecuniary interest in relation to the Lower Mole Countryside Project as they are members of the members Steering Group.

Resolved:

- i] that the items recommended for funding from the Local Committee's 2012/13 Member Allocation funding, as set out in section 2 of this report, as amended, and summarised below be agreed:

Organisation	Project Title	Amount
Lower Mole Countryside Management Project	Lower Mole Project Land Rover	£5,100 Colin Taylor increased contribution from £1520 to £2,100
Generation Church	Epsom and Ewell Food Bank	£5,000 Additional £1,000 from David Wood Colin Taylor increased contribution from £1,000 to £1,700
Surrey Police, Epsom and Ewell Safer Neighbourhood Team	Neighbourhood Watch signs	£2,140
Second Hand Dance	Dad Dancing	£650
Ewell Chamber of Commerce	Stoneleigh Xmas Lights	£4,500 Additional £1,000 from Colin Taylor

- ii] Noted the expenditure previously approved by either the Community Partnerships Manager or the Community Partnerships Team Leader under delegated powers, as set out in section 3.
- iii] Noted any returned funding and/or adjustments, as set out within the report and at Appendix 1.

48/12 DATE OF NEXT MEETING [Item 17]

Monday 10 December 2012, 2.00pm, Epsom Town Hall

Meeting Ended: 10.05pm

Chairman



**OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE
(EPSOM AND EWELL)**

**EPSOM AND EWELL PARKING REVIEW – PHASE 6:
CONSIDERATION OF FORMAL OBJECTIONS –
LADBROKE ROAD**

10 December 2012

KEY ISSUE

To acknowledge the result of the formal consultation and consider any resultant objections, following the advertisement of the proposed scheme, and to decide how to proceed with the parking proposals in Ladbroke Road, Epsom.

SUMMARY

The Local Committee resolved on 27 June 2011 that parking controls in Epsom and Ewell should be progressed where necessary for road safety reasons and where the majority of residents had supported additional measures.

In accordance with the legal process those controls were formally advertised in the Epsom Guardian on 24 November 2011 with the formal objection period closing on 22 December 2011.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Local Committee (Epsom and Ewell) is asked to agree either:

- (i) that the residents of Ashley Road, from nos.22 to 30, should be included in the Ladbroke Road residents permit scheme
- (ii) that the county council makes amendments to existing traffic regulation orders and introduces new traffic regulation orders as necessary for this change to be implemented.

or:

- (iii) that only residents of Ladbroke Road should be eligible for permits in the Ladbroke Road residents permit scheme.

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In July 2011 we carried out informal consultations throughout the Epsom and Ewell area, to assess whether or not there was a requirement to introduce Resident Permit Schemes (RPS) in certain areas – this included Ladbroke Road.
- 1.2 As part of this informal consultation, both residents of Ladbroke Road and some residents of Ashley Road, between nos. 20 and 30, were contacted to ask if they required an RPS and if they wished to be included.
- 1.3 Following this preliminary consultation some RPS proposals were dropped, owing to lack of interest, and the rest were then formally advertised.
- 1.4 Following this advertising process it was brought to our attention that we had omitted the residents of nos. 20 to 30 Ashley Road from the notice, so leaving them ineligible for permits in the new RPS.
- 1.5 As we had omitted them inadvertently, we readvertised the permit scheme, this time including the residents of Ashley Road in the notice. In response we received a number of objections to the inclusion of Ashley Road residents, mainly from residents of Ladbroke Road.
- 1.6 According to the county council's constitution, decisions about objections to advertised traffic orders are delegated to the parking team manager, in consultation with the chairman and vice chairman of the local committee and the appropriate divisional member. Under these powers the decision was made not to include the residents of Ashley Road in the Ladbroke Road RPS.
- 1.7 This decision led to a formal complaint from some of the residents of Ashley Road about their exclusion from the scheme. One of the outcomes of the investigation into the complaint was concern that the decision was taken in a relatively informal manner, without a suitable record being kept. It was therefore recommended that the objections, and the decision whether or not to include the Ashley Road residents in the scheme, should be reconsidered in a full meeting by the whole committee.

2 ANALYSIS

- 2.1 During the informal consultation in July 2011 we received 5 responses from the addresses in Ashley Road. 4 were in favour of being included in the scheme with 1 against. Given this level of support, it was always intended to include them in the notice advertising the scheme. None of the responses from Ladbroke Road residents raised any concern about, or even mentioned, the inclusion of Ashley Road residents in the scheme.
- 2.2 When we readvertised the scheme, with the Ashley Road residents included, we also wrote to all the residents explaining what we were doing and why. In response we received 17 objections from Ladbroke Road residents to the inclusion of the residents of Ashley Road and 5 messages of support for the idea from Ashley Road residents and 1 Ladbroke Road resident.

- 2.3 A number of objections cited the increased number of cars that would be trying to park in Ladbroke Road as the reason for wanting the scheme to only be available to Ladbroke Road residents. Balanced against this, it should be remembered that the Ashley Road residents currently park in Ladbroke Road and that the scheme will stop many non residents parking in the road, which will free up the space for residents that park there already.

3 OPTIONS

- 3.1 Agree that residents of nos. 20-30 Ashley Road should be allowed to apply for permits in the Ladbroke Road residents permit scheme.
- 3.2 Agree that the scheme should only allow residents of Ladbroke Road to apply for permits.

4 FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The committee has already approved funding to progress the scheme 2011/2012.

5 EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 There are no equalities and diversity implications arising from this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 There are no crime and disorder implications arising from this report.

7 CONCLUSION

- 7.1 The committee decides whether residents of nos. 20-30 Ashley Road are allowed to apply for permits in the Ladbroke Road residents permit scheme.

LEAD OFFICER: Stephen Clavey, Senior Engineer

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 03456 009 009

E-MAIL: parking@surreycc.gov.uk

CONTACT OFFICER: Stephen Clavey, Senior Engineer

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 03456 009 009

E-MAIL: parking@surreycc.gov.uk

BACKGROUND PAPERS: Local Committee Report 'EPSOM AND EWELL WAITING RESTRICTION (PHASE 6) REVIEW – 27 JUNE 2011

Version No.

Date:

Time:

Initials:

No of annexes:

This page is intentionally left blank



**OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE
EPSOM AND EWELL**

**Proposed Bus Stop Clearways in
Kingston Road Ewell
10th December 2012**

KEY ISSUE

To seek the Local Committee's approval to create bus stop clearways in Kingston Road Ewell

SUMMARY

New bus stop clearways are needed at two bus stops in Kingston Road to keep parked vehicles clear and help passengers use the bus services safely. The Committee is asked to approve the implementation of these so that they can be enforced by the Borough Council Civil Enforcement Officers.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Epsom and Ewell Local Committee is asked to agree that:

1. A bus stop clearway be introduced in Kingston Road at the existing bus stop adjacent to properties 104A to 110A the restriction to be 'at any time';
2. A bus stop clearway be introduced in Kingston Road at the existing bus stop adjacent to the property known as Grange Mansions, the restriction to be 'at any time'.

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Surrey County Council, as the Highway Authority, has powers under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and the Traffic Sign Regulations and General Directions 2002 to create bus stop clearways. A bus stop clearway is a parking restriction at a bus stop that can be enforced by the District Councils Civil Enforcement Officers as they would waiting restrictions. They are, however, more onerous than waiting restrictions because the clearway also prohibits stopping and loading/unloading over the length of the bus stop.

- 1.2 Unlike waiting restrictions there is no mandatory statutory consultation process in order for a highway authority to implement a bus stop clearway. Consequently it is Surrey Highways policy that these measures are approved by the Local Committee to ensure that there is some local consultation prior to their implementation.
- 1.3 There are currently two locations in Ewell where bus stop clearways are proposed:
 - a) Kingston Road (adjacent to properties 104A to 110A) requested by the bus operator and London Buses as on street parking prevents buses from pulling into the stop.
 - b) Kingston Road (adjacent to the property known as Grange Mansions) requested by the bus operator and London Buses as on street parking prevents buses from pulling into the stop.

2 ANALYSIS

- 2.1 Bus stop clearways prevent vehicles stopping at bus stops and so ensure buses can pull up close and parallel to the kerb. This means that passengers do not have to get on and off the bus in the carriageway and enables ramps to be deployed for wheelchair users and pushchairs. Bus stop clearways also assist operators to maintain schedules more easily.

3 CONSULTATIONS

- 3.1 London Buses and Quality Line (bus operator) have been consulted and agree with the proposals. County and Borough Councillors will have been sent a copy of this report in advance of the meeting.
- 3.2 If approved these restrictions will be implemented following a letter drop to affected frontagers.

4 FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 Implementation of the proposed bus stop clearways will be funded by the Passenger Transport Group

5 EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 Buses that can pull upto the kerb allow passengers with mobility problems to board more easily and safely.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Clear and enforceable parking restrictions help improve compliance and reduce obstruction problems

7 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1 Bus stop clearways help keep parked vehicles away from bus stops which makes it easier for passengers, particularly those with mobility problems, to board. Buses are also less likely to block traffic behind. It is recommended to introduce bus stop clearways at two locations in Kingston Road Ewell where

problems with parked vehicles are currently experienced by buses trying to access the bus stops.

8 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1 Bus stop clearways help improve bus services in Surrey, one of the objectives of our Local Transport Plan.

9 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

- 9.1 Subject to Local Committee approval the bus stop clearways will be implemented and the restrictions enforced.

LEAD OFFICER: Roy Varley, Senior Transport Officer
TELEPHONE NUMBER: 03456 009009
E-MAIL: roy.varley@surreycc.gov.uk
CONTACT OFFICER: Roy Varley, Senior Transport Officer
TELEPHONE NUMBER: 03456 009009
E-MAIL: roy.varley@surreycc.gov.uk

BACKGROUND PAPERS: None

Version No. Date: Time: Initials: No of annexes:

This page is intentionally left blank



OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE (Epsom and Ewell)

Highways Update
10th December 2012

KEY ISSUES

To update Committee with progress of the 2012-13 Highways programmes funded by the Local Committee.

SUMMARY

This report summarises progress with the capital and revenue programmes funded by the Local Committee's respective capital and revenue budgets.

A stakeholder working group has considered the conflicting demands for road space in Station Approach; a solution may be available that suits all users.

Members, officers and local stakeholders have met to discuss various ongoing concerns in Blenheim Road.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Local Committee is asked to:

- (i) Approve the allocation of next Financial Year's budgets detailed in Table 4;
- (ii) Agree to delegate authority to the Area Team Manager to finalise the detailed design of the layout of Station Approach in consultation with the established working group, and to undertake all necessary procedures to deliver this layout (paragraphs 2.13 to 2.16 refer);

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Surrey County Council's Local Transport Plan (LTP) aims to improve the highway network for all users. In general terms it aims to reduce congestion, improve accessibility, reduce the frequency and severity of road casualties, improve the environment, and maintain the network so that it is safe for public use.

1.2 The Local Committee has been delegated Highway budgets in the current Financial Year 2012-13 as follows:

- Local Revenue: £189,401
- Community Pride: £25,000 (£5,000 per Division)
- Capital Integrated Transport Schemes: £108,483
- Capital Maintenance: £108,483

1.3 Following an under spend in the previous Financial Year 2011-12 there are also significant carry forward monies:

- Local Revenue carry forward: £88,100
- Capital Integrated Transport Schemes carry forward: £1,400

1.4 The costs of a number of schemes from the previous Financial Year 2011-12 were not fully paid in the previous Financial Year. The balance of these costs totals £60,306.46, and has been paid from this Financial Year's capital budget. This cost will reduce the funding available to Committee for this Financial Year's programme. The details of these costs are as follows:

- Beggars Hill, Pedestrian Crossing £10,322.40
- Cuddington / Vale Road Table / Crossing £8,493.53
- Longmead Road / Blenheim Road Crossing £156.75
- Verge and footway improvement, Epsom West £2,822.94
- Footpath Town Hall to A24 High Street £15,722.00
- Wilmerhatch Lane, Island and Signage £519.19
- Grove Road, Epsom, footway improvements £8,836.16
- Nonsuch Walk, footway improvements £2,880.66
- Jasmin Road, West Ewell, footway improvements £5,032.20
- Aston Way, Local Structural Repair £1,678.25
- Badgers Copse, Local Structural Repair £3,842.38

1.5 The funds delegated to the Local Committee are in addition to funds allocated at a County level which cover various Highways maintenance and improvement activities, including inspection and repair of safety defects, resurfacing, structures, vegetation maintenance, and drainage.

2.0 ANALYSIS

Annual Local Revenue Programme

2.1 In June 2012 Committee made the allocations shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1 Revenue allocation agreed by Committee in June 2012

Budget Heading	Allocation	Comment
Ditching and Drainage	£20,000	£15,552.15 committed, £4,447.85 remaining
Parking	£30,000	£31,490.07 committed for completion of signing works at various locations across the Borough. This will complete Phase 6.
Illuminated Street Furniture	£20,000	£20,386.54 committed to improvements in Ruxley Lane
Extension of de-cluttering work	£15,000	£12,867.64 committed to improvements in Cheam Road, £2,132.36 remaining
Local Issues	£104,401 Divided £15,000 per Division and £29,401 to be allocated by the Maintenance Engineer	£69,501.77 committed, £34,899.23 remaining
Carry Forward	£88,100	£38,566.72 committed,

		£49,533.28 remaining
Total	£277,501.00 £189,401 + £88,100	£188,364.89 committed, £89,136.11 remaining

2.2 Officers have worked in consultation with Divisional Members to identify maintenance concerns and projects for funding from the Local Issues allocations to their respective Divisions. The uncommitted revenue monies are approximately equivalent to the Local Revenue carry forward. In accordance with Committee's approval in September 2012 these monies have been held in reserve to ensure that this Financial Year's Capital programme is adequately funded.

Annual Capital Integrated Transport Schemes Programme

2.3 Table 2 below summarises progress with Integrated Transport Schemes that were approved by Committee in June.

Table 2 Progress with 2012-13 Capital Integrated Transport Schemes Programme

Scheme	Description	Progress	Budget
Spread Eagle Junction	Extend footway on Ashley Road arm to reduce crossing distance, and align tactile paving.	Detailed design complete. Need to coordinate with Development adjacent to this site; possible opportunity to extend scheme with contribution from Developer. May need to defer until next Financial Year to suit Developer's construction programme.	£20,000 May need to defer this allocation to next FY
Hogsmill cycle / footbridge at Green Lanes	New bridge to complete missing link.	Partnership scheme with E&EBC. Bridge currently being refurbished having been removed from a site in Elmbridge.	£35,000 Monies transferred to Structures Team
Mobility Ramps	Dropped kerbs at various locations to improve accessibility for pedestrians.	Works ordered at Stane Way jw Cheam Road, Kinross Avenue jw Avon Close, Richards Fields jw Chessington Road, Woodcote Side, and Grove Road jw The Grove	£20,000 £7,822.49 committed
A240 Speed Limit Amendment	Rationalisation of speed limit changes at junction.	Detailed design complete. Skanska to implement signage changes as part of larger street lighting scheme.	£10,000 Likely cost £2,000 for Legal work
South Street / Ashley Avenue pedestrian phase	Introduction of new pedestrian phase to existing traffic signals. Design only this FY.	Detailed design due to commence imminently.	£5,000 Likely cost £2,000 as design only

Scheme	Description	Progress	Budget
Signage Improvements	Improvements at various locations.	VAS ordered for Reigate Road; other signage improvements unlikely due to lead times in design and implementation.	£18,000 £4,000 committed
Total, noting that the budget allocations are approximate <i>Likely spend between £50,800 - £70,800 depending on timing of Spread Eagle Junction scheme</i>			£108,000

2.4 At the time of writing officers believe that the value of this Financial Year's Capital Integrated Transport Schemes Programme will be at least £37,000 less than the Capital Integrated Transport Schemes budget of £108,000. In accordance with Committee's approval in June 2012 officers are developing Capital Maintenance schemes that would utilise the monies likely to be unspent from the Capital Integrated Transport Schemes budget.

Capital Maintenance Programme

2.5 Table 3 below summarises progress with Capital Maintenance Schemes that were approved by Committee in June, together with a number of additional schemes that officers have identified in consultation with Divisional Members, to utilise the monies likely to be unspent from the Capital Integrated Transport Schemes budget.

Table 3 Progress with 2012-13 Capital Maintenance Programme

Scheme	Description	Progress	Budget / Cost
Bradford Drive	Local Structural Repair	Ordered, awaiting programming	£16,786.23
West Street	Local Structural Repair	Ordered, awaiting programming	£13,011.35
Bellfield Road	Local Structural Repair	Ordered, awaiting programming	£21,964.57
West Street, Ewell	Local Structural Repair	Ordered, awaiting programming	£5,675.69
Christ Church Mount	Local Structural Repair	Ordered, awaiting programming	£7,563.44
Epsom High Street / Waterloo Road	Local Structural Repair	Ordered, awaiting programming	£29,843.26
Derek Avenue / Amis Avenue	Micro Asphalt (or alternative treatment)	Awaiting costs and confirmation of treatment	£40,000
Middle Lane	Micro Asphalt (or alternative treatment)	Awaiting costs and confirmation of treatment	£7,990
Gatley Avenue	Micro Asphalt (or alternative treatment)	Awaiting costs and confirmation of treatment	£4,507
Total programme value, noting that the micro asphalt costs are estimated			£147,341.54

- 2.6 A number of Capital Maintenance schemes were suggested to Committee in June 2012 for costing for inclusion in this Financial Year's Capital Maintenance Programme. These included carriageway and footway schemes. The value of the schemes listed above in Table 3 already exceeds Committee's Capital Maintenance budget, and therefore it is unlikely that any of the other schemes suggested to Committee in June 2012 will go ahead this Financial Year, except for those that are now being funded by central budgets: Kingston Road (service roads), St Martin's Avenue and Ebbisham Road. Officers will work with Divisional Members to identify which of the remaining schemes should be prioritised for next Financial Year.

Community Pride Fund

- 2.7 The Community Pride budget has been spread evenly across the 5 Divisions in Epsom and Ewell. Of the total £25,000 Community Pride budget, £20,039.95 is now committed. Officers will work with Divisional Members who still have Community Pride monies remaining, to identify appropriate works in their respective Divisions.

Programme Monitoring and Reporting

- 2.8 Officers will update Committee with progress in the delivery of its works programmes at each Committee meeting. In addition Committee Chairmen are provided with detailed monthly finance updates, which detail all the orders raised against the various budgets, as well as the works planned for each of the budgets.

Priorities FY 2013-14

- 2.9 At an informal workshop for Committee in October 2012 it was suggested by Members that next Financial Year's budgets should be allocated according to Table 4 below, to provide Pooled Revenue and Capital budgets, together with a significant allocation for each Division. It was suggested that programmes for each Division should be developed by Officers in consultation the respective Divisional Members.

Table 4 Suggested allocation of budgets for 2013-14

Budget	Amount <i>(assuming same as 2012-13)</i>
Community Pride	£25,000
Local Revenue	£189,401
Capital ITS	£108,483
Capital Maintenance	£108,483
Total	£431,367
Possible allocation	Amount
Pooled Revenue	£100,000
Pooled Capital	£100,000
Divisional Allocations	£231,367 <i>(£46,273.40 per Division)</i>
Total	£431,367

- 2.10 The Pooled Revenue is intended to cover various revenue concerns across the Borough for example: drainage and ditching, patching and kerb works, parking, minor safety schemes and extra vegetation.
- 2.11 The Pooled Capital is intended to be prioritised by Committee for investment in larger, more strategic schemes, for example Station Approach, South Street junction with Ashley Avenue, or Temple Road junction with Chase Road. Officers will assess the merits of schemes within the existing programme, together with new schemes suggested by Members, according to LTP3 objectives, and advise Committee accordingly. At the time of writing, it is suggested that implementation of a long term solution to Station Approach, and construction of the pedestrian improvements at South Street junction with Ashley Avenue, would be the highest priorities for the Pooled Capital next Financial Year.
- 2.12 It is recommended that Committee approve the suggested allocation of budgets for 2013-14, to enable Officers to begin working with Divisional Members to develop programmes for their respective Divisions.

Station Approach

- 2.13 In accordance with Committee's instruction in September 2012, a stakeholder working group was convened to consider the conflicting demands for road space in Station Approach, and to discuss possible long term solutions. The working group included Division and Ward Members, Southern Rail, Epsom and Ewell Borough Council Licensing, Surrey Police, and Surrey County Council Highways, Passenger Transport and Local Partnership Teams. Epsom and Ewell Borough Council Planning and Surrey County Council Transportation Development Planning were made aware of the working group meeting, but were unfortunately unable to attend.
- 2.14 The working group identified a number of potentially conflicting demands for use of Station Approach, *inter alia* loading and waste collection, Hackney Carriages, bus services, and Kiss & Ride. For each use, the working group identified how much space would be reasonable to facilitate that use.
- 2.15 It became clear that the visibility required by the existing pedestrian crossing outside the station entrance, protected by zigzags, is a major constraint in itself, insofar as the zigzags occupy significant road space. The group agreed that removing this pedestrian crossing altogether, and improving the pedestrian crossing at the junction with Waterloo Road, would free up sufficient road space to satisfy all the demands, without significant detriment to pedestrian access to and from the station.
- 2.16 At the time of writing, Officers are working with the developer to draft a drawing of Station Approach showing the layout that would be possible with the pedestrian crossing removed. A working group meeting is being arranged in advance of Committee's meeting in December to consider the drafted layout. If the working group agrees that the drafted layout is feasible, at least in principal, then Officers will table the drafted layout to Committee in December.

Blenheim Road

- 2.17 A petition presented to Committee in September 2012 outlined a number of concerns arising out of the current vehicular access arrangements for the Blenheim Road Household Waste Centre. There was particular concern over impact on local businesses.
- 2.18 Committee instructed Officers to meet with a representative of the petitioners. Duly a meeting took place on 13th November including Kevin Vince, who represented the local businesses, Cllr Mason, and Officers from Waste Management and Highways.
- 2.19 It was suggested that following the reopening of the Leatherhead site in late November, numbers of residents using the Blenheim site should reduce by approximately 30%. The Internal layout within the site had undergone changes to make the unloading and sorting of recycling materials simpler and quicker than before resulting in a more rapid turnover of visitors.
- 2.20 The Highway Information Team have been asked to contact Satnav companies with updated details of the Blenheim Road closure to ensure that drivers were given the correct information to access the facility.
- 2.21 The 'no through road' signs at the junction of Felstead Road and Blenheim Road are to be replaced with larger signs and the existing signs are to be relocated on lamp columns between Felstead Road and the Recycling Centre to emphasise the fact that vehicles could not get through to Roy Richmond Way.
- 2.22 Keep Clear road markings are to be placed across the accesses of the businesses between Felstead Road and the Recycling Centre.
- 2.23 A new advanced direction sign is to be provided on Longmead Road to replace the existing sign between Hook Road and the roundabout at Blenheim Road. The new sign is intended to advise that all vehicles for the Recycling Centre should proceed straight ahead and not turn in to Blenheim Road at the roundabout.
- 2.24 It was agreed that no further changes to the road closure arrangement would be made as the site had benefitted greatly from the new system of separating HGVs and household waste.

3.0 FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 The financial implications of this paper are detailed in section 2 above.
- 3.2 Officers have and will continue to work with Members to ensure that the budgets are fully spent in the Epsom and Ewell Borough area by the end of the Financial Year.

5.0 EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 It is an objective of Surrey Highways to treat all users of the public highway equally and with understanding.

6.0 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 A well-managed highway network can contribute to reduction in crime and disorder as well as improve peoples' perception of crime.

7.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Previous delegated authority afforded by Committee has enabled the Area Team Manager to work with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman to deliver this Financial Year's programmes, and ensure this Financial Year's funds are fully spent.

8.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Committee is asked to approve budget allocations for next Financial Year, to enable the Area Team Manager to begin to develop programmes of works for next Financial Year.

8.2 Officers believe that a long term solution for road space allocation in Station Approach is close to being agreed by key stakeholders. Committee is asked to delegate authority to the Area Team Manager to ensure that the agreed solution is delivered.

9.0 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

9.1 Officers will work with Members to ensure that the approved programmes are delivered.

LEAD OFFICER: Nick Healey
North East Area Team Manager

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

E-MAIL: highways@surreycc.gov.uk

CONTACT OFFICER: Nick Healey
North East Area Team Manager

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

E-MAIL: highways@surreycc.gov.uk

BACKGROUND PAPERS: None



**OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE
(Epsom and Ewell)**

**APPROVAL OF SMALL GRANTS BIDS
10 December 2012**

KEY ISSUE:

To consider the applications received for the Small Grants Allocation.

SUMMARY:

As part of the transformation of the Services for Young People, the Committee has been allocated a Youth Small Grants fund to deploy for the year 2012/13. The Committee is being asked to approve the Officer recommendations in sections 2.2 of this report on the award of funding.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Local Committee (Epsom and Ewell) is asked to approve the Officer recommendations in sections 2.2 of this report on the award of funding.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On 10th October 2011, the Committee noted that as of 1st April 2012, it will have £14,000 available to support small voluntary youth organisations with grants of £500 to £5,000.

1.2 On 25th June 2012 the Committee approved funding for Small Grant bids worth £2900. Therefore £11,100 remains of the original allocation.

1.3 As funds remained, funding was advertised and organisations were able to submit bids since 23rd July 2012 by emailing an application form or via the Surrey County Council website, www.surreycc.gov.uk/smallgrants. For the second round of applications the eligibility criteria has been further emphasised:

- The application must be for an not for profit organization with a turnover of less that £100,000 per annum
- Bidding organisation should not have existing contracts with Surrey County Council Services for Young People
- Funding would enable direct work with Surrey young people aged 10-19 and is not for large capital funding that does not enable direct activity (e.g. fixing roofs, installing loos etc.)

1.4 This criteria as well as the process for managing Small Grants is currently being reviewed by Services for Young People.

2. BIDS RECEIVED

2.1 The bids received are attached in Annex A.

2.2 The Officer recommendations are set out in Annex B.

3. CONSULTATIONS

3.1 The Services for Young People *Fit for the Future* transformation programme has been subject to wide ranging consultation with groups of young people, staff, and partner agencies. Members have been consulted through the County Council's PVR Member Reference Group.

3.2 Local Committee Chairmen's views were sought on the Youth Small Grants process on 31st January 2012.

3.3 The Local Committee approved the process for approving Small Grants on 5 March 2012.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 It is anticipated local commissioning will offer better value for money in that the outcomes commissioned will be more closely aligned to local need.

5. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The devolved commissioning budget is likely to be targeted on groups who are vulnerable or at risk.

6. CONCLUSION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 The Committee is being asked to approve the officer recommendations on awarding Small Grants in paragraph 2.2 of this report.

7. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

7.1 Organisations will be able to continue to submit applications for Small Grant funding until 31 December 2012 or until funding is exhausted, whichever is sooner.

7.2 As agreed on 5 March 2012 Local Committee Meeting bids will be considered for approval at future meetings of the Committee.

LEAD OFFICER:	Garath Symonds Assistant Director for Young People
TEL NUMBER:	0208 541 9023
E-MAIL:	Garath.Symonds@surreycc.gov.uk
CONTACT OFFICER:	Jenny Smith
TEL NUMBER:	02085 417405
E-MAIL:	Jenny.Smith@surreycc.gov.uk
BACKGROUND PAPERS:	Services for young people – briefing for elected members (issued May 2011)

ANNEX A

Epsom and Ewell Local Committee Report 10/12/12

Summary – Bids to be approved

Bid no	Organisation Bidding	Title of Bid	Amount requested
1	Two Birds	ETwo Birds Enrichment Programme	£800
2	1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts	Carry on Sea Scout Camping	£900
3	Stoneleigh Youth Project	Trix Cafe	£1000
4	United Reformed Church and Soroptimists International	My Time for Young Carers	£810
5	Studio ADHD	Studio ADHD Centre Fishing Project	£2176 (split)
6	Longmeadz Kickn	Longmeadz Kickn	£5000
7	Epsom & Ewell Karate Club	Training Equipment	£4500

Bid 1

Project details	Help Notes												
Q1 Project title: ETwo Birds Enrichment Programme	Full title of specific project												
Q2 Specific neighbourhood or area: Epsom and Ewell													
Q3. Borough: Epsom and Ewell													
Q4 How many young people will your project be working with? <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Ages</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Males</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Females</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>10-12</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>13-17</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18-19</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ages	Males	Females	10-12			13-17	5	5	18-19			Include numbers of those who will be participating in the project.
Ages	Males	Females											
10-12													
13-17	5	5											
18-19													
Bidder details													
Q5 Name of the organisation carrying out the project and organisation type: Two Birds Non - Profit Voluntary Organisation	Name of the organisation responsible for carrying out the project and if it is a voluntary, public or private organisation.												

Q6 Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less: Yes

What are you seeking funding for ?

Q8 Description of the project. What difference will this make?

What will be done?

The Two Birds Enrichment Programme, is designed to bring the benefits of international volunteering to those communities statistically least likely to partake.*

Targeting young people (Secondary School ages) at risk of becoming NEET or Offenders (PRU's, EBD, or Gypsy Skills schools) we facilitate 10 week programme to improve cultural awareness, empathy, self esteem, and skills to aid future opportunities.

All partaking students are offered a carefully structured package of insight into a social crises effecting young people overseas; followed by education on ways to tackle this problem and experience of delivering these solutions.

Students are encouraged to self-reflect and consider others, via building a relationship and awareness of other young peoples culture and social problems. Students maintain management of their own fundraising or awareness projects and are free to exercise their preferred skills to achieve this. This will be guided and facilitated by programme leaders through resource provision and teaching in project management, marketing, budgeting and interpersonal communication.

The programme focuses on reducing anti-social behaviours through empathy development and positive re-enforcement for kind, and giving behaviour. It is delivered by enthusiastic, young professionals, with the cause close to their hearts.

All students are positively evaluated throughout and receive certificates of participation, skills development records and references.

For students demonstrating exceptional commitment there is potential for scholarship positions for 2 weeks of hands on volunteering & true cultural exploration in Mexico. All students will be fully trained & supported with a mentor & personal development plans.

PRU, EBD and Gypsy Skills students are statistically less likely to gain international volunteering experience independantly and will additionally benefit from an 87% improvement in their career progression.*

The programme is supported by both academic research and carefully monitored pilot studies in Pupil Referral Units.* A further unexpected outcome was to assist schools in meeting OFSTED criteria for providing broad learning experiences with moral, social and cultural development.

* Research summary documentation and academic references available upon request.

Q9 When will the project be:

a) started: Jan 2013 b) completed: **March 2013**

The dates you expect your project to begin

and finish.

Financial Questions

Q10 When will you need the funds? Prior to commencing.	The date when you will require the funds.
Q11 What is the total cost of the project? £800 per 10 week programme.	The total cost of the project.
Q12 Amount applying for i.e. How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part. £800	If you have a quote, please attach it to the form.
Q13 Where is the rest coming from? This amount sufficiently covers all expenses - Two Birds has a contingency fund from previous Enrichment Programmes in case of problems. Is it promised already, or still to be found?	Names and amounts from other funders
Q14 Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details: Yes	Please give names of the department, and dates applied.
Q15 Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details: No	Please include even if not for this particular project.
Q16 Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details: No	Include project purpose, dates and amounts.
Q17 If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support) No future costs of Enrichment Programme anticipated Potential Scholarships funding from private donors (David Moutia Scholarship fund) corporate sponsors and fundraising activities.	Information on how you intend to fund and/or maintain your project in the future.

Bid 2

Project details	
Project name	Carry on Sea Scout Camping
Specific neighbourhood and district/borough	Stoneleigh/Auriol, Epsom & Ewell
How many young people will your project be working with? (include numbers of those who will be	

participating in the project beside all ages and genders that apply - please enter 0 for none)	
Age 10-12 Males	23
Age 10-12 Females	4
Age 13-17 Males	26
Age 13-17 Females	10
Age 18-19 Males	4
Age 18-19 Females	2
Bidder details	
Name of voluntary organisation responsible for carrying out the project (please note, the grant fund is not open to private organisations)	1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts
Is the organisation a voluntary organisation?	Yes
Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less	Yes
What are you seeking funding for?	
Description of the project. What difference will this make?	<p>We are seeking funding for "6 person" nylon tents. These offer sleeping and living space for a team of young people and allow the team to bond. Importantly, the space is subdivided into enclosed bedrooms, allowing a mix of young people to both develop as a team and have some privacy. Whilst the list price is £385 each, we are confident that given winter sales, we can deliver each tent for £300. The grant is for 3 and we will contribute to the project by purchasing a fourth at the same time. We know that there is a need for this project by listening to the young people of the group. They tell us both the value that they place on camping trips - from Beavers being introduced to Explorers travelling overseas. Their parents, and they themselves, feedback about the difference that Scouting in general and Camping in particular makes. We know of the need for Nylon tents from the work that we do with both parents and young people. We have had some in the past and the difference they made was tremendous. We are hoping that you can help us to continue this. We know that many young people and their parents have a different perception around risk than may have been norm in the past. This means that in order to offer residential camping, it is essential that we offer tentage with a degree of privacy, sown in groundsheets and such like - without these a number who would benefit will simply not take part. So, at a basic level, these tents will get young people out into the world camping. There they will build fires, cook for themselves, learn team work and about who they are. A number of these young people, either due to parental choice or their own perceptions, would never partake in these activities with "traditional" canvas tents. At a much higher level, you will be giving young people the opportunity to build relationships and friendships that our history tells us may last longer than a lifetime. You will give them the freedom to believe that they can succeed and grow personally, spiritually and as citizens. You will give them the opportunities that they didn't know they could have - and our history tells us that you may well change their lives.</p>
When will the project:	
Start:	01/02/2013
Be completed:	31/10/2103
Financial Questions	
When will you need the funds?	ASAP - we can achieve better value over the winter
What is the total cost of the project?	£1200
How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part.	£900. This represents 3 of the 4 tents we wish to buy. Whilst list price is £385, we are confident that buy buying out of Season we can reduce that to £300.
Where is the rest coming from?	The 4th will come from our own fundraising.

Is it promised already, or still to be found?	It is already found.
Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details:	No
Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details:	No
Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details:	No, but in conjunction with our local County Councillor we are approaching the Local Committee for funding to improve the security at our site.
If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support)	The maintenance of these tents will be carried out by our quartermaster team who have a great record of maintaining equipment.

Bid 3

Project details	
Project name	Trix Cafe
Specific neighbourhood and district/borough	Stoneleigh, Epsom and Ewell
How many young people will your project be working with? (include numbers of those who will be participating in the project beside all ages and genders that apply - please enter 0 for none)	
Age 10-12 Males	3
Age 10-12 Females	1
Age 13-17 Males	14
Age 13-17 Females	3
Age 18-19 Males	14
Age 18-19 Females	0
Bidder details	
Name of voluntary organisation responsible for carrying out the project (please note, the grant fund is not open to private organisations)	Stoneleigh Youth Project
Is the organisation a voluntary organisation?	Yes
Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less	Yes
What are you seeking funding for?	
Description of the project. What difference will this make?	The Stoneleigh Youth Project (SYP) is a small, community charity which was formed in 2006 to provide some youth facilities in Stoneleigh. This was in response to growing concern in the community about increasing low level youth crime and anti-social behaviour. Following consultation with local teen-agers it was concluded that one of the causes was that young people had nowhere to go after school. This led to the decision to open Trix cafe in September 2008. SYP runs Trix Cafe in Stoneleigh Broadway as a drop-in venue which aims to give 11-18 year-olds somewhere to be and something to do in the 'gap' between end of school and 6.00pm Monday-Friday. Youngsters are able to meet, chat, play table tennis, cards, Wii games etc and buy soft drinks and snacks. Adult volunteers are able to engage with children at risk of becoming disaffected teen-agers. The cafe is run and managed completely by volunteers. As this is a drop in venue there is no formal registration or commitment to being there. The figures above are based on those who use it most regularly at present, but this is continually shifting. However, many in the older group have been coming for several years and continue to drop in even though most have left school. We provide them with some continuity as their lives change. As there is no selection on our part the cafe is used by a range of young people, mostly boys. However, many of those who use it regularly

	are disadvantaged in relation to the community they live in: - The majority lack strong adult role models in their families. Most are from single parent or split families. - Several have already had contact with the law, either in the form of warnings or court cases, and/or have been excluded from school - A number come from low income families - Their parents are often not at home when they come back from school. The cafe has been warmly supported by the local police. The cafe makes a difference because: - The younger ones are safe whilst in Trix - not only physically but also because they are not being exposed to influences which could lead them into trouble. - Providing an adult input to decision making and a listening ear helps young people to make better decisions, particularly those who do not have adults at home who are able/willing to spend time discussing things with them. - Improved educational outcomes by encouraging youngsters to do their homework and supporting them in doing it. - Reduction in anti-social behaviour.
When will the project:	
Start:	01/01/13
Be completed:	31/12/13
Financial Questions	
When will you need the funds?	2013
What is the total cost of the project?	£12,00 pa
How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part.	£1000 contribution to the rent, our most significant expenditure (£10,000 pa).
Where is the rest coming from?	A mix of regular giving plus donations from local churches and individuals, grants from trusts and voluntary bodies and fundraising.
Is it promised already, or still to be found?	For 2013 £6,500 has already been raised or promised.
Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details:	No
Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details:	No
Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details:	No. (We received £6000 from the Surrey Youth Capital Fund in 2008 as a contribution to our setting up costs.)
If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support)	We are continually fundraising. Every £1000 we raise covers the full costs of running Trix for 1 month. Whilst we expect to continue to receive support from the local community we will also continue to apply for grants from trusts and other grant giving organisations.

Bid 4

Project details	Help Notes
Q1 Project title: My Time for Young Carers	Full title of specific project
Q2 Specific neighbourhood or area: Epsom & Ewell	
Q3. Borough: Epsom & Ewell	
Q4 How many young people will your project be working with? Ages Males Females 10-12 8 7	Include numbers of those who will be participating in the project.

13-17

18-19

Bidder details

Q5 Name of the organisation carrying out the project and organisation type: My Time for Young Carers is a partnership between the United Reformed Church and Soroptimists International and is therefore part faith and part voluntary

Name of the organisation responsible for carrying out the project and if it is a voluntary, public or private organisation.

Q6 Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less: Yes

What are you seeking funding for ?

Q8 Description of the project. What difference will this make?

My Time for Young Carers provides a free activity club for young carers.

What will be done?

The impact of caring roles on young people is significant and many are prevented from experiencing the fun and freedom usually associated with childhood. The My Time club gives these young carers respite from their onerous caring responsibilities by organising games, sports and craft activities. It gives the young carers the chance to have some of their own time and space and to meet others in a similar position.

The My Time club started at Ewell United Reformed Church in spring this year and is currently held on a Wednesday evening once a month. However, the demand is such that next year My Time hopes to introduce a second club per month and supplement the clubs with school holiday outings and other activities.

Should funding not be made available then a group of young carers will yet again lose out. Although at present only a small number in the relevant age group currently experience the tremendous benefits these clubs provide, with secure funding more can be done to both expand the number and age range of those attending.

Young carers are at real risk of failing to make a successful transition to adulthood. Research indicates that those who have been young carers are four times more likely to engage in adult deviant behaviour such as crime and substance misuse. As the One in Ten report identifies young carers can expect a mere 19 minutes per week with a professional care worker.

It is relevant to note that My Time has the support of Surrey Young Carers who have the statutory responsibility for young carers in Surrey.

<p>Q9 When will the project be: a) started: January 2013 b) completed: On-going</p>	<p>The dates you expect your project to begin and finish.</p>
<p><i>Financial Questions</i></p>	
<p>Q10 When will you need the funds? 1 February 2013</p>	<p>The date when you will require the funds.</p>
<p>Q11 What is the total cost of the project? £2800</p>	<p>The total cost of the project.</p>
<p>Q12 Amount applying for i.e. How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part. £480 volunteer expenses and training £150 additional equipment £180 activity costs Total = £810</p>	<p>If you have a quote, please attach it to the form.</p>
<p>Q13 Where is the rest coming from? Fund raising events and other grants Is it promised already, or still to be found? £1500 already raised from the United Reformed Church</p>	<p>Names and amounts from other funders</p>
<p>Q14 Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details: No</p>	<p>Please give names of the department, and dates applied.</p>
<p>Q15 Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details: No</p>	<p>Please include even if not for this particular project.</p>
<p>Q16 Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details: No</p>	<p>Include project purpose, dates and amounts.</p>
<p>Q17 If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support) My Time for Young Carers is a fledgling project which has considerable potential to expand both its provision and its venues. However, to secure future grants it needs start-up money to show its true worth. Once established My Time is confident it can maintain a funding stream from grants, fund raising and other sources of charitable giving.</p>	<p>Information on how you intend to fund and/or maintain your project in the future.</p>

Bid 5

Project details	
Project name	Studio ADHD Centre Fishing Project
Specific neighbourhood and district/borough	Tandridge, Reigate & Banstead, Epsom & Ewell, Spelthorne and Elmbridge

How many young people will your project be working with? (include numbers of those who will be participating in the project beside all ages and genders that apply - please enter 0 for none)	
Age 10-12 Males	10
Age 10-12 Females	1
Age 13-17 Males	8
Age 13-17 Females	2
Age 18-19 Males	3
Age 18-19 Females	0
Bidder details	
Name of voluntary organisation responsible for carrying out the project (please note, the grant fund is not open to private organisations)	The Studio ADHD Centre
Is the organisation a voluntary organisation?	Yes
Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less	Yes
What are you seeking funding for?	
Description of the project. What difference will this make?	The grant will contribute towards young people participating in our Reflections Angling Project which we have been running for the past four years. There are very few specialist Angling projects for disadvantaged and special needs young people in Surrey. Once they have experienced success, with the support of their families, they will be able to integrate into mainstream clubs and fisheries. Without the initial instruction process they are likely to experience failure or frustration and not return to the sport. Young people with special needs such as ADHD, Aspergers have more problems than most to deal with, including difficulties with relationships and fitting into society. Angling is a safe way of spending time, as there are adults around who share the same interests and act as good role models. Angling is now being recognised as a significant activity in reducing anti-social behaviour. Angling offers personal challenge and a sense of achievement. We use it as accreditation in the Skill or Service section of our Duke of Edinburgh Award Scheme. It encourages good relationships with all generations, teaches rules and codes of conduct and is a skill that can be taken into adulthood. We have photos and a video of some of our fishing activities so far which are linked on our website - www.studioadhdcentre.org.uk .
When will the project:	
Start:	01/11/12
Be completed:	31/10/13
Financial Questions	
When will you need the funds?	As soon as possible.
What is the total cost of the project?	11,816.00
How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part.	£2,176.00 - 32 sessions, including venue fees, equipment hire and instructor costs @ £68 per session
Where is the rest coming from?	Henry Smith, Aiming High and individual donors and Trusts.
Is it promised already, or still to be found?	Still to be found - currently running some sessions from Aiming High Grant.
Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details:	No.
Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details:	No.
Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details:	Yes - 17/11/11 - £1,000 from Helyn Clack - SCC Members Allocation.

If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support)	We are applying to the Angling Trust for small grants and some corporate support together with the Henry Smith Charity.
---	---

Bid 6

Project details	Help Notes												
Q1 Project title: Longmeadz Kickn	Full title of specific project												
Q2 Specific neighbourhood or area: Longmead (Court Ward)													
Q3. Borough: Epsom & Ewell													
<p>Q4 How many young people will your project be working with?</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="231 716 638 884"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ages</th> <th>Males</th> <th>Females</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>10-12</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13-17</td> <td>27</td> <td>11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18-19</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ages	Males	Females	10-12	10	1	13-17	27	11	18-19	1	0	Include numbers of those who will be participating in the project.
Ages	Males	Females											
10-12	10	1											
13-17	27	11											
18-19	1	0											
Bidder details													
<p>Q5 Name of the organisation carrying out the project and organisation type: Longmeadz Kickn is a partnership project, with a dedicated Steering Group. The finances are administrated by EEBC on behalf of the steering group.</p> <p>Partners of the project include, EEBC, Youth Support Service, Surrey Police, Blenheim School, Epsom and Ewell High School, Roseberry Housing, Court Community Partnership, The Beat and Fulham Football Club.</p>	Name of the organisation responsible for carrying out the project and if it is a voluntary, public or private organisation.												
<p>Q6 Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less: Court Community Partnership has a turnover of less than 100k</p>													

What are you seeking funding for ?	
<p>Q8 Description of the project. What difference will this make?</p> <p>The Longmeadz Kickn project uses football and zumba/street dance to engage with young people aged 10-18 from the Longmead area. Over the last year project has moved to work with more targeted individuals. The project is not only proving to be an excellent youth prevention project but it also creating opportunities for targeted individuals to be engaged and progressed. The project also aims to tackle some of the issues young people face through workshops - such as drugs and alcohol, through to cyberbullying and stop and search whilst engaging them in sport and physical activity. In addition there are opportunities for young people to complete accreditations and qualifications.</p> <p>The project currently engages 20 young people (out of a total of 50) who</p>	What will be done?

are classified as NEET, RONI or are known to the Police, Community Incident Action Group (CIAG) or other agencies as causing concern. These young people all have personal development plans to encourage them to set goals and targets for improvements. This can be as simple as reducing swearing to going to college/work.

Over the last 6 months the project has had continued support from the Youth Support Service which has enabled young people to be supported with their decision making. This has been hugely beneficial and enabled young people who would have become NEET to move into further education (college), training (apprenticeships) or work.

Not only does the project work with those targeted individuals but also provides engagement as a deterrent for anti-social behaviour. Any young person who engages with the project is offered the wide spectrum of opportunities available.

This funding will enable the project to deliver the football activity for approximately 6 months.

Q9 When will the project be:

- a) started: January 2013 b) completed: **July 2013 (although it is hoped that further funding can be sourced to continue post the end date).**

The dates you expect your project to begin and finish.

Financial Questions

Q10 When will you need the funds? As soon as possible

The date when you will require the funds.

Q11 What is the total cost of the project? estimated £22k (for 1 year)

The total cost of the project.

Q12 Amount applying for i.e. How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part.

£5,000 (to be spent on coaches)

Total project breakdown:

Staffing (head coach and assistant coach plus dance instructor):

£17,300

Matches: £700

Workshops and accreditations: £2,000

Overheads: £2,000

If you have a quote, please attach it to the form.

Q13 Where is the rest coming from? Funding for dance instructor - Barclays Spaces for Sport Sustainability Fund - confirmed £960.

Names and amounts from other funders

Is it promised already, or still to be found? £960 confirmed, remainder to be found.

Q14 Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details:

No

Please give names of the department, and dates applied.

<p>Q15 Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details: No</p>	<p>Please include even if not for this particular project.</p>
<p>Q16 Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details: No</p>	<p>Include project purpose, dates and amounts.</p>
<p>Q17 If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support) Sourcing long term external funding or sponsorship. We are currently reviewing the current expenditure and are looking to bring down ongoing revenue costs in order to make the project more sustainable in the long term.</p>	<p>Information on how you intend to fund and/or maintain your project in the future.</p>

Bid 7

Project details		Help Notes												
Q1 Project title:	Training Equipment	Full title of specific project												
Q2 Specific neighbourhood or area:	Ewell Longmead Estate													
Q3. Borough:	Epsom And Ewell													
Q4 How many young people will your project be working with?	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ages</th> <th>Males</th> <th>Females</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>10-12</td> <td>21</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13-17</td> <td>6</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18-19</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ages	Males	Females	10-12	21	25	13-17	6	4	18-19	1	2	Include numbers of those who will be participating in the project.
Ages	Males	Females												
10-12	21	25												
13-17	6	4												
18-19	1	2												
Bidder details														
Q5 Name of the organisation carrying out the project and organisation type:	Epsom & Ewell Karate Club	Name of the organisation responsible for carrying out the project and if it is a voluntary, public or private organisation.												
Q6 Does the organisation have a turnover of £100,000 or less:	Yes													
What are you seeking funding for ?														

<p>Q8 Description of the project. What difference will this make? The club has had recent success in competition at National level we need training equipment to encourage and help more students to reach National and International level. The project will help children from poorer backgrounds to have an interest and with discipline in order to reduce crime in the local community.</p>	<p>What will be done?</p>
<p>Q9 When will the project be: a) started: 9/1/13 b) completed: 21/3/13</p>	<p>The dates you expect your project to begin and finish.</p>
<p><i>Financial Questions</i></p>	
<p>Q10 When will you need the funds? 9/1/13</p>	<p>The date when you will require the funds.</p>
<p>Q11 What is the total cost of the project? 5,000</p>	<p>The total cost of the project.</p>
<p>Q12 Amount applying for i.e. How much of the total cost would you like from the Local Committee? Please include estimate/breakdown of this part. 4,500 we need Gloves, Punch shields, protective wear, Gum shields, Competition suits, Footwear protection</p>	<p>If you have a quote, please attach it to the form.</p>
<p>Q13 Where is the rest coming from? Is it promised already, or still to be found? Club funds £500</p>	<p>Names and amounts from other funders</p>
<p>Q14 Have you applied for this funding from any other part of Surrey County Council? Please give details: No</p>	<p>Please give names of the department, and dates applied.</p>
<p>Q15 Are you currently in receipt of any grant or contract funding from Surrey County Council? Please give details: no</p>	<p>Please include even if not for this particular project.</p>
<p>Q16 Has the organisation responsible for the project received any Local Committee funding for this or any other purpose in the past? Please give details: Yes to help buy mats 2005 for training total cost £2,000 Re Cllr Jan Mason</p>	<p>Include project purpose, dates and amounts.</p>
<p>Q17 If this project will need funding in future, how will the costs be met? (Costs may be included e.g. maintenance, replenishment, breakdown, repair, support) maintenace of equipment, replenishment</p>	<p>Information on how you intend to fund and/or maintain your project in the future.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank

ANNEX B

Epsom and Ewell Local Committee Report 10/12/12

Summary

Bid no	Organisation Bidding	Title of Bid	Amount requested	Officer recommended award	Officer Notes
1	Two Birds	ETwo Birds Enrichment Programme	£800	£800	
2	1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts	Carry on Sea Scout Camping	£900	£900	
3	Stoneleigh Youth Project	Trix Cafe	£1000	£1000	£1000 will only cover 1months rent on this project. An increased allocation will support a more significant impact.
4	United Reformed Church and Soroptimists International	My Time for Young Carers	£810	£810	
5	Studio ADHD	Studio ADHD Centre Fishing Project	£2176 (split)	£590	Studio ADHD have asked for £2176 split over 5 boroughs. However they need over £11,000 to fully deliver this project to this target audience.
6	Longmeadz Kickn	Longmeadz Kickn	£5000	£5000	
7	Epsom & Ewell Karate Club	Training Equipment	£4500	£2000	
		Total		£11100	
		Total budget available		£11100	

This page is intentionally left blank



OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE
Epsom & Ewell

FLEXIBLE FORWARD PROGRAMME

10 December 2012

LOCAL COMMITTEE MEETING DATES FOR THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2012/2013	Venue	time
Monday 11 March 2013	Bourne Hall	7.00pm

LOCAL COMMITTEE MEETING DATES FOR THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2013/2014 (Provisional Dates to be confirmed)	Venue	time
Monday 17 June	Bourne Hall	7.00pm
Monday 23 September	Bourne Hall	7.00pm
Monday 9 December	Epsom Town Hall	2.00pm
Monday 10 March 2014	Bourne Hall	7.00pm

Monday 11 March 2013	
Bourne Hall	
Topic	Purpose
Phase 7 Parking Review	To agree the advertisement of proposals arising from the parking review.
Arrangements for Local Parking Enforcement	To discuss the recently agreed contracts for enforcement
Highway Capital and Maintenance Projects Progress Report	To receive an update on progress of the capital and maintenance projects
Update on local schools	To receive an update on the performance of local schools

Members Allocations	To receive a report on proposed spend of members allocations and Capital Grant funding.
Flexible Forward Programme	To receive a report on the proposed flexible forward programme for the Local Committee.

LEAD OFFICER: Nicola Morris. Telephone No: 0208 541 9437
email: nicola.morris@surreycc.gov.uk



**OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE
(Epsom and Ewell)**

LOCAL COMMITTEE FUNDING

10 DECEMBER 2012

KEY ISSUE

To give consideration to the funding requests received, that have been sponsored by at least one County councillor.

SUMMARY

Surrey County Council's Local Committees receive funding to spend on locally determined purposes that help to promote social, economic or environmental well-being. This funding is known as Member Allocations.

For the financial year 2012/13, the County Council has allocated £12,615 revenue funding to each county councillor and £35,000 capital funding to each local committee.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Local Committee (Epsom & Ewell) is asked to:

- (i) Agree the items recommended for funding from the Local Committee's 2012/13 Member Allocation funding, as set out in section 2 of this report and summarised below:

Organisation	Project Title	Amount
1st Cuddington (warspite) Sea Scouts	Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington	£4,500
Epsom & Ewell Borough Council	Cox Lane Bmx/skate Facility	£10,000
Cuddington Croft Primary School Team	Wraparound/extended Care Provision	£1,000

- (ii) Note the expenditure previously approved by either the Community Partnerships Manager or the Community Partnerships Team Leader under delegated powers, as set out in section 3.

- (iii) Note any returned funding and/or adjustments, as set out within the report and at Appendix 1.

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 At its 25 June 2012 Local Committee (Epsom and Ewell) meeting, councillors agreed that each member should have an equal share of the £35,000 capital budget (£7,000) alongside their individual £12,615 revenue allocations.
- 1.2 Member Allocation funding is generally made to organisations on a one-off basis, so that there should be no expectation of future funding for the same or similar purpose.
- 1.3 Member Allocation funding will not usually be granted for purposes that benefit one individual, nor to fund schools for the direct delivery of the National Curriculum, nor to support political parties.
- 1.4 When considering bids, organisations applying are advised against assuming that the Local Committee will meet the total cost of their project.

2. BIDS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL – REVENUE/CAPITAL FUNDING

- 2.1 The proposals for revenue and capital funding for consideration and decision at this Committee are set out below.

Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington

Eber Kington	£1,000 capital
Chris Frost	£1,000 capital
Colin Taylor	£1,000 revenue
David Wood	£1,000 capital
Jan Mason	£500 capital

1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts has submitted an application for a funding contribution of £5,000 towards the provision of a powder coated mesh fence.

The 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts wish to erect a new fence to secure the 1st Cuddington HQ – Fancourt Hall in Cunliffe Road, Stoneleigh. 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts have invested massively in their HQ at Fancourt Hall over the last 2 years - both in terms of funds and time. The massive improvements include the replacement of the roof and the windows. Not only have these massively improved the look of the building but they have increased the thermal and acoustic insulation of the building. The group has done this work after going through the proper due diligence of undertaking a planning application so that all options could be considered. They are absolutely delighted that thanks to the hard work of the members of the group and some generous support they have been able to rejuvenate Fancourt Hall without having to take the option of selling part of the land. It is now important that they replace the fence, which is 50 years old and failing rapidly with an appropriate and secure modern fence. This is what this project will look to do.

The 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts still have a serious problem with security. The original fence from the 1960s still protects the hall and it is insecure and an eyesore. They believe that replacing the fence will achieve two things:

- It will secure the property properly - adding to the safety of all concerned and helping to ensure that Scouts is available to all the young people of the area who may wish to participate.
- It will drastically improve the visual amenity of the area - removing a rusted chain link fence and replacing it with a modern, smart fence. They have received numerous comments from other local residents regarding the state of the fence and it's visual impact.

The reason why this is so important is that over the years The 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts have suffered real problems, acts of theft, assault and vandalism against property and individuals associated with the The 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts. Proper secure fencing will help make sure that those young people from all walks of life still get the opportunities The 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts can offer.

A good, secure fence will allow secure storage of assets such as trailers, prevent volunteer time being wasted on clearing up any damage and ensures that money and time invested in the community gives the best possible returns.

The total cost of the project is estimated to be £7,000 to £9,000 and The 1st Cuddington (Warspite) Sea Scouts have adequate reserves to meet the balance.

Cox Lane BMX/Skate Facility

Colin Taylor	£2,000 revenue
Chris Frost	£2,000 capital
Jan Mason	£3,000 capital
Eber Kington	£2,000 capital
David Wood	£1,000 capital

Epsom and Ewell Borough Council has submitted an application for a funding contribution of £10,000 to remove the outdoor basketball court and replace it with a bespoke concrete bmx/skate facility as designed by the local young people who wish to use it.

At the end of Cox Lane, opposite the Community Centre on the Watersedge Estate, there is a tired looking outdoor basketball court which is rarely used. The local young people have approached their Community Police Officers and their Ward Councillor to request if they can have a more popular facility built there. Specifically they have requested somewhere for them to ride their bikes and scooters as well as their skate boards. This facility will service the Watersedge estate as well as communities near Ruxley Lane and Chessington Road, providing an opportunity for a highly requested activity.

Ruxley Ward used to be known as a Self Reliance Area by Surrey County Council and Watersedge has since become a Stronger Neighbourhood Area as recognized by Epsom & Ewell's Local Strategic Partnership. This area has the lowest car ownership in the County so many of the families that live there do not have the opportunity to travel easily to other areas away from the estate. The Watersedge area also has the highest number of families with young children in "inappropriate housing" i.e. overcrowded accommodation and with no garden area for physical play within the Borough. In addition Ruxley Ward has the highest percentage of young people within the Borough and has very little play or outdoor sports opportunities to offer these young people. Any bmx/skate facility

built at Cox Lane will be a free facility which is much needed in this area due to the fact that the area has higher levels of income deprivation than others and therefore young people find it more difficult to access sports and leisure facilities generally due to cost. Despite all these statistics very little investment has been made within this area over the past 10 years.

The Borough's Open Spaces Audit (March 2006) highlighted there was a lack of play facilities particularly for young people aged 12-18 years. Suggestions included the installation of more skate facilities. The nearest bmx/skate facility for young people on the Watersedge estate is 2.6km away as the crow flies in Long Grove Park, Epsom and therefore significantly further with busy main roads to navigate. There has been a recent change to the only bus service to Epsom from the Watersedge estate and so young people will find it even more difficult to travel to and access the bmx/skate facility in Long Grove Park.

The Schools Consultation 2010 confirmed that the most popular activity that young people wanted to try was BMXing. The local young people from the Watersedge area have stated that they have a petition with 50 signed names on it all in support of the project. Both the local Police and Youth Development Officers have suggested that they are constantly asked when a bmx/skate facility will be built in the Watersedge area. The Council's Leisure Developments team has also received a number of queries asking if a bmx/skate facility can be built in the Ruxley area.

The total cost of the project is £84,204. Epsom and Ewell Borough Council have applied for £50,000 from Surrey County Council's Community Improvement Fund and £34,000 from Epsom and Ewell Borough Council, these funding requests are awaiting formal approval and the full amount requested may not be awarded hence the application from Members' Allocation funding.

Wraparound/Extended Care Provision

David Wood

£1,000 revenue

Cuddington Croft Primary School has submitted a retrospective application for a funding contribution of £1,000 towards constructing storage and kitchen facilities necessary to provide extended childcare provision before and after the school day. This is to provide storage for resources and facilities to prepare food for breakfast club and after school childcare. The need to provide extended care was identified by parental survey. The total cost of the project is £1,077 and the remaining funding is coming from the school's own budget. Please note that this project has already been completed in order that the extended service would be available for the new academic year.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY APPROVED BIDS

- 3.1 The Community Partnership Manager or Community Partnership Team Leader (East Surrey) has already approved the following bids under delegated authority since the last committee meeting:

COUNCILLOR	PROJECT	AMOUNT
Chris Frost	Discovery Science Workshops - The Vale Primary School	£500
Chris Frost	Discovery Science Workshop - St Martin's CofE Infant School	£850
Chris Frost	Discovery Science Workshops - St Martin's CofE Junior School	£500
Jan Mason	Epsom And Ewell Boxing Club	£500

- 3.2 Members are requested to note that funding previously awarded to Epsom & Ewell High School to fund the project Epsom and Ewell High school musical instruments has been withdrawn and returned to Jan Mason's allocation.

Members are requested to note that funding previously awarded to Epsom & Ewell High School to fund the project Promoting Language Specialism has been withdrawn and returned to Jan Mason's allocation.

Members are requested to note that, in respect of the funding previously awarded to Auriol Bowling Club to fund the project Fencing to stop foxes digging up the bowling green surface, the project cost decreased from £1,000 to £711.57. Therefore an amount of £288.43 has been returned to Eber Kington's allocation.

4. OPTIONS

- 4.1 The Local Committee may choose to approve all, part or none of the funding proposals under discussion in this report.

5. CONSULTATIONS

- 5.1 In relation to new bids, consultation, where appropriate, may have been undertaken by the organisation receiving the funding, the local Member of the Community Partnerships Team as required.
- 5.2 The appropriate Surrey County Council services and partner agencies are consulted when bids are submitted, as required.

6. FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Each project detailed in this report has completed a standard application form giving details of timescales, purpose and other funding applications made. The County Councillor proposing each project has assessed its merits prior to the project's inclusion as a proposal for decision by the Committee. All bids are also scrutinised to ensure that they comply with the Council's Financial Framework and represent value for money.

- 6.2 There are sufficient monies to fund all of the proposals contained within this report. If the above recommendations are approved the remaining are set out in the Chart in the Local Committee's financial position statement attached at Appendix 1.
- 6.3 Please note these figures will not include any applications submitted for approval after the deadline for this report or that are currently pending approval under delegated authority. They also do not include any funding that is currently in the process of being returned to the Local Committee.

7. EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 The allocation of the Committee's budgets is intended to enhance the wellbeing of residents and make the best possible use of the funds. Funding is available to all residents, community groups or organisations based in, or serving, the area. The success of the bid depends entirely upon its ability to meet the agreed criteria.
- 7.2 Local Committee funding can be allocated to projects that benefit a diverse range of community safety needs.

8. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1 The spending proposals put forward for this meeting have been assessed against the County standards for appropriateness and value for money within the agreed Financial Framework and the locally agreed criteria, which are available from the Community Partnerships Team.
- 8.2 The Local Committee is asked to consider the items submitted for funding from the 2012/13 Local Committee delegated budgets, as detailed in the report.

9. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9.1 The Committee is being asked to decide on these bids so that the Community Partnerships Team can process the bids in line with the wishes of the Committee.

10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

- 10.1 If approved by the Local Committee, organisations will be approached to sign funding agreements for their projects based on the bids submitted.
- 10.2 Any changes to an approved bid will be discussed with the local Members and the Chairman and if the changes are considered to be significant, an amended bid will be brought back to the Committee for approval. In all other circumstances, the Community Partnerships Team will process the payments as soon as possible once the signed agreement has been received.
- 10.3 All successful applicants will be contacted for details of how the funding was spent and will be asked to supply evidence.

Lead Officer: Sandra Brown
Community Partnership Team Leader (East)
Telephone Number: 01737 737420
E-mail: sandra.brown@surreycc.gov.uk

Report Contact: Rowena Zelle
Local Support Assistant
Telephone Number: 01737 737420
E-mail: communitypartnershipseast@surreycc.gov.uk

- Background Papers:**
- SCC Constitution: Financial Framework
 - Local Committee Protocol
 - Criteria and Guidance for Members Allocations
 - Local Committee Funding Bids

This page is intentionally left blank

Epsom-Ewell Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013

	OPENING BALANCE	REVENUE	CAPITAL
Chris Frost		£12,615.00	£7,000.00
	EPS1213021 Epsom Downs Queen's Diamond Jubilee Beacon Event	£100.00	
	EPS1213004 Warren Rec., Langley Vale, path repair	£1,380.00	
	Trees for Langley Vale (returned funding, project decreased from £3,000 to £969.45)		-£2,030.00
	EPS1213007 Paediatric Echocardiograph Ultrasound Machine		£1,600.00
	EPS1213008 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	EPS1112382 Step Ladders (returned funding)		-£327.00
	EPS1213011 Replacement of Obsolete Computers		£240.00
	EPS1213012 Replacement of Photocopier		£400.00
	EPS1213010 YLD Xtreme	£200.00	
	Chalk Lane Bollard (returned funding)	-£600.00	
	EPS1213017 Lower Mole Project Land Rover		£1,000.00
	EPS1213020 Neighbourhoodwatch signs	£428.00	
	EPS1213021 Nonsuch Awareness Day 2012	£200.00	
	EPS1213019 Epsom and Ewell Foodbank		£300.00
	EPS1213018 Dad Dancing	£250.00	
	EPS1213022 Discovery Science Workshops - The Vale Primary School	£500.00	
	EPS1213023 Discovery Science Workshop - St Martin's CofE Infant School	£850.00	
	EPS1213024 Discovery Science Workshops - St Martin's CofE Junior School	£500.00	
	EPS1213025 Stoneleigh Xmas Lights		£1,500.00
	EPS1213027 Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington		£1,000.00
	EPS1213028 Cox Lane Bmx/skate Facility		£2,000.00
	BALANCE REMAINING	£8,307.00	£1,317.00

Epsom-Ewell Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013

OPENING BALANCE		REVENUE	CAPITAL
Eber Kington		£12,615.00	£7,000.00
	EPS1213021 Epsom Downs Queen's Diamond Jubilee Beacon Event	£100.00	
	EPS1213007 Paediatric Echocardiograph Ultrasound Machine		£1,600.00
	EPS1112330 Cuddington Children's Jubilee Parade (returned funding)	-£950.00	
	EPS1213010 YLD Xtreme	£200.00	
	EPS1213011 Replacement of Obsolete Computers		£240.00
	EPS1213012 Replacement of Photocopier		£400.00
	EPS1213020 Neighbourhoodwatch signs	£428.00	
	EPS1213021 Nonsuch Awareness Day 2012	£200.00	
	EPS1213019 Epsom and Ewell Foodbank	£1,000.00	
	EPS1213025 Stoneleigh Xmas Lights	£1,500.00	
	EPS1213027 Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington		£1,000.00
	EPS1112308 Fencing to stop foxes digging up the bowling green surface (returned funding)		-£288.43
	EPS1213028 Cox Lane Bmx/skate Facility		£2,000.00
	BALANCE REMAINING	£10,137.00	£2,048.43

Epsom-Ewell Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013

	OPENING BALANCE	REVENUE	CAPITAL
Jan Mason		£12,615.00	£7,000.00
	EPS1213021 Epsom Downs Queen's Diamond Jubilee Beacon Event	£118.00	
	EPS1213005 Bakersfield Picnic in the Park (amount of bid has reduced from £500 to £298)	£298.00	
	EPS1213006 Football Goal on Chessington Road Rec (Baker's Field)	£820.00	
	EPS1213007 Paediatric Echocardiograph Ultrasound Machine		£1,000.00
	EPS1213010 YLD Xtreme	£200.00	
	EPS1213011 Replacement of Obsolete Computers		£240.00
	EPS1213012 Replacement of Photocopier		£140.00
	EPS1213013 Ark & Community Activities	£750.00	
	EPS1213014 - Ruxley/Ewell Court Engagement Day - Withdrawn		
	EPS1213015 Parkviews Summer Party	£200.00	
	EPS1213017 Lower Mole Project Land Rover		£1,000.00
	EPS1213020 Neighbourhoodwatch signs	£428.00	
	EPS1213021 Nonsuch Awareness Day 2012	£200.00	
	EPS1213019 Epsom and Ewell Foodbank	£1,000.00	
	E & E High school musical instruments (returned funding)	-£586.00	
	EPS1112335 Promoting Language Specialism (returned funding)		-£950.00
	EPS1213026 Epsom And Ewell Boxing Club	£500.00	
	EPS1213027 Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington		£500.00
	EPS1213028 Cox Lane Bmx/skate Facility		£3,000.00
	BALANCE REMAINING	£8,687.00	£2,070.00

Epsom-Ewell Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013

	OPENING BALANCE	REVENUE	CAPITAL
Colin Taylor		£12,615.00	£7,000.00
	EPS1213021 Epsom Downs Queen's Diamond Jubilee Beacon Event	£100.00	
	EPS1213003 Walking the Boundaries, Epsom Common Residency - awaiting further information	£520.00	
	EPS1213007 Paediatric Echocardiograph Ultrasound Machine		£1,600.00
	EPS1213008 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	EPS1213009 GPS Devices for Search & Rescue		£945.00
	EPS1213011 Replacement of Obsolete Computers		£240.00
	EPS1213012 Replacement of Photocopier		£400.00
	EPS1213010 YLD Xtreme	£200.00	
	EPS1213016 Community Church Equipment Need		£985.00
	EPS1213017 Lower Mole Project Land Rover		£2,100.00
	EPS1213020 Neighbourhoodwatch signs	£428.00	
	EPS1213021 Nonsuch Awareness Day 2012	£200.00	
	EPS1213019 Epsom and Ewell Foodbank	£1,700.00	
	EPS1213018 Dad Dancing	£400.00	
	EPS1213025 Stoneleigh Xmas Lights	£1,000.00	
	EPS1213027 Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington	£1,000.00	
	EPS1213028 Cox Lane Bmx/skate Facility	£2,000.00	
	BALANCE REMAINING	£4,567.00	£730.00

Epsom-Ewell Members Expenditure - Balance Remaining 2012-2013

	OPENING BALANCE	REVENUE	CAPITAL
David Wood		£12,615.00	£7,000.00
	EPS1213021 Epsom Downs Queen's Diamond Jubilee Beacon Event	£100.00	
	EPS1213008 Looked After Children Bursary	£500.00	
	EPS1213010 YLD Xtreme	£200.00	
	EPS1213011 Replacement of Obsolete Computers		£240.00
	EPS1213012 Replacement of Photocopier		£400.00
	EPS1213020 Neighbourhoodwatch signs	£428.00	
	EPS1213021 Nonsuch Awareness Day 2012	£200.00	
	EPS1213025 Stoneleigh Xmas Lights	£500.00	
	EPS1213017 Lower Mole Project Land Rover		£1,000.00
	EPS1213019 Epsom and Ewell Foodbank	£1,000.00	
	EPS1213029 Wraparound Extended Care Provision	£1,000.00	
	EPS1213027 Secure Scouting At 1st Cuddington		£1,000.00
	EPS1213028 Cox Lane Bmx/skate Facility		£1,000.00
	EPS1213029 Cuddington Croft Primary School	£1,000.00	
	BALANCE REMAINING	£7,687.00	£3,360.00

This page is intentionally left blank